# Overview and Scrutiny Committee



Title of Report:	Work Programme Update	
Report No:	OAS/FH/15/015	
Report to and date:	Overview and Scrutiny Committee	12 November 2015
Chairman of the Committee:	Simon Cole Chairman of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee Tel: 07974 443762 Email: simon.cole@forest-heath.gov.uk	
Lead Officer:	Christine Brain Scrutiny Officer Tel: 01638 719729 Email: christine.brain@westsuffolk.gov.uk	
Purpose of report:	<ol> <li>To update the Committee on the current status of its rolling work programme for 2015-2016 (Appendix 1); and</li> <li>To remind Members to complete a Work Programme Suggestion Form when submitting future items for potential scrutiny (Appendix 2).</li> </ol>	
Recommendation:	Overview and Scrutiny Committee:  That, Members <u>note</u> the current status of the work programme and the annual items expected during 2016.	
<b>Key Decision:</b> (Check the appropriate box and delete all those that <b>do not</b> apply.)	Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition?  Yes, it is a Key Decision - □  No, it is not a Key Decision - ⊠	
Documents attached:	Appendix 1 - Current Work Programme and Task and Finish Groups	
	Appendix 2 - Work Pro	gramme Suggestion Form

## 1. Key issues and reasons for recommendations

#### 1.1 Rolling Work Programme

1.1.1 The Committee has a rolling work programme, whereby suggestions for scrutiny reviews are brought to each meeting, and if accepted, are timetabled to report to a future meeting. The work programme also leaves space for Callins and Councillor Calls for Action. The current position of the work programme for the next few months is attached at **Appendix 1**.

#### 1.2 <u>Member Work Programme Suggestion Form</u>

1.2.1 Attached at **Appendix 2** is the Member Work Programme Suggestion Form, which Members are reminded to complete when submitting future items for potential scrutiny. This enables suggestions received to be considered by the Committee at each meeting.

# Overview and Scrutiny Committee Rolling Work Programme Forest Heath District Council

The Committee has a rolling work programme, whereby suggestions for scrutiny reviews submitted by Members completing a Work Programme Suggestion Form are brought to each meeting, and if accepted, are timetabled to report to a future meeting. The work programme also leaves space for Call-ins and Councillor Calls for Action.

Description	Lead Officer	Details		
14 January 2016				
Portfolio Holder Presentation	Leisure and Culture	The Portfolio Holder has been invited to give a short presentation / account of their portfolio and answer questions from the Committee.		
Decisions Plan: Mildenhall Hub Project	Director	To consider the detailed project proposals for the Mildenhall Hub.		
Directed Surveillance (Quarter 3)	Monitoring Officer	To scrutinise the authority's use of its surveillance powers on a quarterly basis.		
Cabinet Decision Plan	Scrutiny Officer	To peruse the latest Decision Plan for items on which it would like further information or feels might benefit from the Committee's involvement.		
Work Programme	Scrutiny Officer	To receive suggestions for scrutiny reviews, appoint Task and Finish Groups for these reviews and indicate review timescales.		
10 March 2016				
Portfolio Holder Presentation	Resources and Performance	The Portfolio Holder has been invited to give a short presentation / account of their portfolio and answer questions from the Committee.		
West Suffolk Community Safety Partnership	Community Safety Officer	To review the work of the Partnership on an Annual basis.		
Cabinet Decision Plan	Scrutiny Officer	To peruse the latest Decision Plan for items on which it would like further information or feels might benefit from the Committee's involvement.		
Work Programme	Scrutiny Officer	To receive suggestions for scrutiny reviews, appoint Task and Finish Groups for these reviews and indicate review timescales.		

Description	Lead Officer	Details
21 April 2016		
Portfolio Holder Presentation	Families and Communities	The Portfolio Holder has been invited to give a short presentation / account of their portfolio and answer questions from the Committee.
Newmarket Vision Update	Families and Communities	The Portfolio Holder for Families and Communities to update the Committee on progress since 10 September 2015.
Annual Locality Budget Scheme Update	Service Manager (Families and Communities)	Annual update on the locality budget scheme.
Directed Surveillance (Quarter 4)	Monitoring Officer	To scrutinise the authority's use of its surveillance powers on a quarterly basis.
Cabinet Decision Plan	Scrutiny Officer	To peruse the latest Decision Plan for items on which it would like further information or feels might benefit from the Committee's involvement.
Work Programme	Scrutiny Officer	To receive suggestions for scrutiny reviews, appoint Task and Finish Groups for these reviews and indicate review timescales.

# Futures items to be programmed at a later date

- 1. Tree Preservation Orders
- 2. Workspace/Incubation Space in Newmarket (Update from 18 December 2014)
- 3. Decisions Plan: West Suffolk Operational Hub

# **Current position of Overview and Scrutiny Task and Finish Groups**

	Title	Purpose	Start date	Members appointed	Estimated End date
1.	New Housing Development Sites (Joint Scrutiny	To jointly review with St Edmundsbury Borough Council the unacceptable length of time taken by housing developers to bring highways, footpaths,	August 2013	St Edmundsbury Diane Hind Angela Rushen	Early 2016
	Review)	landscaping and open space up to adoption standards on new developments.	Progress updates	Jim Thorndyke Forest Heath	
			23 January 2014	David Bimson Ruth Bowman Bill Sadler	







# working together Suggestion for Scrutiny Work Programme Form (To be considered by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee)

Suggestion from:		
What would you like to suggest for investigation / review?		
Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary		
What are the main issues / concerns to be considered?		
Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary		
Would this review benefit from a "West Suffolk" approach (i.e. joint scrutiny by both Councils), or is it relevant only to your council?		

Who is responsible for providing this service, or tackling the issue in question?
Have you spoken to them, and if so, what was the response?
What is the Portfolio Holders view on this issue?
What would be the likely benefits and outcomes of carrying out this investigation
/ review?
Estimated Committee and officer resource implications (eg research group, one-
off report, dedicated meeting etc)
Suggested witnesses, documentation and consultation

Will this investigation / review contribute to one or more of the Cou- Strategic Priorities? If so, which (please tick)	ncil's
Increased opportunities for economic growth	
Resilient families and communities that are healthy and active	
Unman for our communities	
Homes for our communities	
Will this investigation / review contribute to the achievement of one or mo	re of
the commitments within the Council's Strategic Plan 2014-2016?	1001
If so, which (please tick) Increased opportunities for economic growth:	
Benefit growth that enhances prosperity and quality of life.	
1. Beliefit growth that enhances prosperity and quality of life.	
2. Existing businesses that are thriving and new businesses brought to the area.	
3. People with the educational attainment and skills needed in our local economy.	
4. Vibrant, attractive and clean high streets, village centres and markets.	
Resilient families and communities that are healthy and active:	
1. A thriving voluntary sector and active communities who take the initiative to help the most vulnerable.	
2. People playing a greater role in determining the future of their communities.	
3. Improved wellbeing, physical and mental health.	
4. Accessible countryside and green spaces.	
Homes for our communities:	
<ol> <li>Sufficient housing for current and future generations, including more affordable homes; improvements to existing housing.</li> </ol>	
2. New developments that are fit for the future, properly supported by	
infrastructure, and that build communities, not just housing.	
3. Homes that are flexible for people's changing needs.	

Will this investigation hit one of the essential elements of a scrutiny review		
when analysing potential scrutiny reviews? If so, which (please tick)		
Public Interest:		
The concerns of local people should influence the issues chosen by overview and		
scrutiny.		
Impact (Value):		
Priority should be given to issues that make the biggest difference to the social,		
economic and environmental wellbeing of the area, and which have the potential to		
make recommendations which could lead to real improvements. The outcome must		
also be proportionate to the cost of carrying out the review in terms of staff and		
councillor time.		
Relevance:		
Overview and scrutiny must be satisfied that an issue identified for review is		
relevant and does not duplicate existing work being undertaken elsewhere by		
various Working Groups, Cabinet, partners etc.		
Partnership working or external scrutiny:		
The focus of scrutiny is moving towards joint action and community leadership, so		
anything which offers this opportunity should be given serious consideration.		

Would you like to be involved in the investigation / review?	
Yes	No
Date of request:	Signed

### Please return this form to the:

Scrutiny Officer, Forest Heath District Council, College Heath Road, Mildenhall, Suffolk, IP28 7EY

Email: <u>Christine.brain@westsuffolk.gov.uk</u>

Updated: July 2013

<u>Updated: June 2014 (Revised West Suffolk Strategic Priorities)</u> <u>Updated: March 2015 (Amended as a Joint Form)</u>